

#### PPS1 Housing First – Basis Yorkshire Approach – <u>Common Room (This Room)</u>

Gemma Sciré, CEO Basis Yorkshire

PPS2 Duty to Refer Framework – Main Room

Trish Connolly, Housing Services Development Manager, Crisis

PPS 3 Health and Homelessness <u>– Staff Room</u>

Jane Cook, Health & Homelessness Advisor, MHCLG









### **Basis Housing First Pilot Project**

### Findings From The First Year









Basis Yorkshire works with some of the most marginalised and vulnerable women in Leeds, offering nonjudgemental support to sex workers and helping them access sexual health checks and other services. In partnership with the police, city council and the women and young people it supports, Basis improves their safety and health.

<u>https://www.kingsfund.org.uk/audio-</u> video/2017-gsk-impact-awards-basis-yorkshire



# **Basis** sex work project **Basis**



**Basis** young people

http://basisyorkshire.org.uk/

### Sex workers supported by sector



### **Effective services**

- Rights based, empowering and co-produced
- Evidence based
- Recognising stigma & discrimination
- Holistic support; strengths-based
- Safety focus & harm reduction
- Joint work; Police, health, drug treatment
- Peer support & sex worker inclusion
- Provide choice and opportunity; including transitioning support
  - CATALYST FOR SYSTEMIC CHANGE

### Context

- Leeds, West Yorkshire is the second biggest city outside of London (pop. 774,060, ONS 2016)
- Labour council over 50% women (highest nationally)
- Local on street sex work area (Holbeck) in existence for over 15 years
- Austerity and 'demand reduction' for public services
- Worsening health of women in Leeds across the board: mental health and self harm, decreasing life expectancy and infant mortality rates.
- 164000 more people in 'deprived communities in Leeds' (DPH report, 2018)
- 'Managed Approach' to street sex work national pilot

### What is the Managed Approach?

- First national pilot of a 'managed approach' to street sex work as a pragmatic alternative to enforcement
- Driven by research 'Prostitution in Leeds: Preliminary Scoping', Dr Kate Brown, University of York, 2014
- Designed to reduce harm & increase engagement
  - Identification of perpetrators
  - 'Visibility' of additional vulnerability greater protection
- Identification of geographical area of work
- Activities by all partners: cleansing, support services, Police, community safety
- Rules & expectations
- Sex workers as 'upholders' but also challenge to improve

### The History of Sex Work in Leeds



#### The History of Sex Work in Leeds

This timeline covers the history of sex work in the city of Leeds, from the earliest surviving records to the present day.

## Why does that matter for HF?

### **BETTER ACCESS TO & FOR WOMEN = BETTER ENGAGEMENT**

- 110% increased interactions with street working women by support services in area = <u>ACCESS</u>
- 2013 7% reporting of crime with full details to the Police increased to 57% in 2017 = <u>JUSTICE</u>
- Continued reduction in offending for serious crimes with more low level harassment being dealt with by Police = <u>CHALLENGING STIGMA & HARM</u>
- 2/3 of local residents in support of continuation of MA (Leeds City Council, 2015), despite minority view = <u>COMMUNITY SUPPORT</u>
- Sex Worker Advisory Board established = **INCLUSION**

'It is clear from the outcomes that the conditions in which the project has operated have provided the right environment for the work to flourish. In particular, the ethos of the organisation, the structural issues of criminalisation being directly and radically changed in Leeds and subsequent shifts in responses to women sex working (see Sanders and Sehmbi 20151), and the infrastructure of the WY-FI programme.'

(Housing First pilot evaluation, Bimpson, E., 2018)

## Housing Provision Leeds

- Direct access and "moving on" (supported housing)
- 8 emergency accommodation places for women
- Direct access challenging due to curfew hours
- Sexworkers hidden homeless/sofa surfing
  - Staying with punters, drug dealers, domestic abuse and control & coercion

People don't fit neatly in a box, so options are limited Basis Sex Work project

## Housing First Pilot Scheme 2016

- First Housing First scheme for chaotic street sex workers in England
- Basis role: lead and key worker
- Foundation Housing: specialist housing provision and support partner
- Stability offered in an otherwise complex life
- Greater probability of stability
- Intensive support through low case load
- Fully evaluated by Leeds Social Sciences Institute, University of Leeds



## Unique Approach of Housing First

- Flexible location and approach
- Non judgemental approach
- Harm-reduction focus on safety
- Gendered, trauma informed approach
- Home fully equipped and stocked
- Unconditional support



### Who have we housed?

- Chaotic, street sex working women
- 8 women housed –19 referrals (waiting list now 15)
- Referrals from:
  - Basis
  - Joanna
  - Complex needs service (WYFi)
  - WY Police
  - Newhall Prison



### Complex backgrounds

Issues relating to:	
Mental Health (Depression, anxiety, psychosis)	8/8
Physical health (COPD, Deep Vein Trombosis, malnourishment)	7/8
Domestic Violence	7/8
Problematic drug use (heroin, crack, spice, often combination)	7/8
Problematic alcohol use	2/8
Time in prison	5/8
History of self-harm	All
Children removed	7/8



'The extreme health inequity identified demands intensive crosssectoral policy and service action to prevent exclusion and improve health outcomes in individuals who are already marginalised.'

Lancet, Nov 2017

### Outcomes

- Increased engagement with other agencies
- Improved agency coordination (stable housing)
- Improved offer of other housing services
- No more return to prison (despite history)
- Cost savings (council, authorities)
  - -Improved coordination
  - Reduced use of acute services
  - -No longer in "spiral of chaos"

### LIFE SAVING.....



## Challenges

- House scarcity not always able to house in preferred location
- Mutual understanding of unconditional support
- Peer support
- Community managing 'surveillance' & tensions



### Lessons learned

- One tenancy failed:
  - Housing First doesn't work for everyone straight away
  - People may need multiple attempts to stabilise and learn from their mistakes/ arising issues
  - Location of property was an issue
  - Safeguarding issues: DA & safety
  - Risk of compounding trauma and adversely affecting self-esteem.



### Reasons to Celebrate

- *"I never had anywhere to care about before but now I don't want to go to jail and risk losing my little house"* (History of being in prison, now not re-offended for 15 months)
- "I couldn't have done it before because I wanted to leave him but I relied on him to keep a roof over my head"
- "I haven't stayed on script before because I never knew where I was going to be staying. I feel like I am making my own choices now" (also exited sexwork)



## What made it work well?

- Dedicated support workers
   – very low case
   load
- Separation of housing support (Basis) from tenancy management (Foundation)
- Gendered approach
- Links with Basis ethos:
  - Non judgemental, harm reduction, unconditional support, trauma-informed, rights based



### The Next Three Years

- Initial group of women now moving on
- Funding from Tudor Trust for Basis Support Role for 3 years
- New partnership with Turning Lives Around
- Dedicated Housing Officer from Leeds Housing Options
- Continuing to raise awareness of Housing First in Leeds and nationally
- 'Systems change'





# Any questions?

### www.basisyorkshire.org.uk @basisyorkshire | @basissexwork Tel: 0113 – 243 0036









## Lunch and Exhibition Viewing





## PPS 4 Delivering H-CLIC – the experiences of a Housing System's Provider and a Local Housing Authority – *Common Room (This Room)*

Andy Glaves, Locata Client Relationship Manager Corinne L. Copeland, Locata Project Manager Nic Abbott, Cheshire East, Homechoice Team Leader

PPS 5 Homeless Rooms - Main Room

Mark Peters, Co-Founder, HomelessRooms.co.uk Lee Blake, Co-Founder, HomelessRooms.co.uk





**P** Housing Services

Delivering H-CLIC -The Experiences Of A Housing System Supplier & Local Authority

NHC Homelessness Conference, Leeds 3<sup>rd</sup> October 2018



### Hello and welcome!

### A Brief Introduction

- Andy Glaves, Locata Client Relationship Manager
- Corinne L. Copeland, Locata Project Manager
- Nic Abbott, Cheshire East, Homechoice Team Leader





# A Little Background – Locata's Preparation for the Launch of its HPA2 System

Working through the creation, launch and support phases of HPA2 has been challenging

#### The Development Group included

- Ten partner schemes
- Representing 27 partner organisations
- Andy Gale Homelessness Consultant
- Jo Beck MCHLG

We had quite a few meetings

- Two large meetings at St Pancras
- Four online Go To Meetings
- Two webinar workshops
- Over 90 training sessions
- Fourteen Locata & Sector design and planning meetings



### Some Locata Data Points

So far entered onto the system

- Users **6,793**
- Clients **74,280**

- **1,684**Triage Cases
- **15,453** Prevention Cases
- **13,940** Relief Cases
- **1,523** Decision Cases
- **1,680** Final Decision Cases



#### Largest total of cases per scheme

Kent	9,800
Manchester	5,871
Cambridge	4,206
Dorset	3,779
Gloucester	2,946
Herts & Essex	2,574

#### Largest total of cases per LA

LB Harrow	1,697
Salford	1,532
Warrington	1,396
Wirral	1,392
Oldham	1,387
LB Hamm-Fulham	1,366



### Delta and HCLIC

All of the interactions made with your customers now have to be run, uploaded and validated

- Running and downloading the HCLIC reports is very smooth and simple within Locata's HPA2 system...but what about yours? What's your experience?
- However, uploading the data to MHCLG is a completely different kettle of fish.
- Part of the problem was that the process used to upload data now was not the one originally promised.
- It has become a manual process for you.





### Big problems with the validation and error reports



Delta have been constantly changing their outdated Schematron

There is no test system for it and it has been changed on the fly

Error reporting is very basic with no real guidance

- **"Errors"** must be put right before uploading the final quarter data
- "Warnings" flag potential problems in the record, but don't stop the upload
- "Information" is for you to take note of something in the record, but will be accepted



### The Schematron...a bloody nightmare!!

requires a value</sch:assert>

<sch:assert test="if (descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE!=0 and descendant::cs:ASSESS\_DATE castable as xs:date and descendant::cs:ASSESS\_DATE[text()]) then descendant::cs:REASONLOSS else descendant::cs:MAIN">REASONLOSS Error: This field is mandatory and requires a value</sch:assert>

<sch:assert test="if (descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE!=0 and descendant::cs:REASONLOS
castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:REASONLOSS=1 and (descendant::cs:ASSESS1 castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ASSESS1
&lt;4 or descendant::cs:ASSESS1 castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ASSESS1&gt;5)) then descendant::cs:REASONAST else
descendant::cs:MAIN">REASONAST Error: This field is mandatory and requires a value</sch:assert>

<sch:assert test="if (descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE!=0 and descendant::cs:REASONLOS
castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:REASONLOSS=3 and (descendant::cs:ASSESS1 castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ASSESS1
&lt;4 or descendant::cs:ASSESS1 castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ASSESS1&gt;5)) then descendant::cs:REASONSRS else
descendant::cs:MAIN">REASONSRS Error: This field is mandatory and requires a value</sch:assert>

<sch:assert test="if (descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE!=0 and descendant::cs:REASONLOS
castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:REASONLOSS=4 and (descendant::cs:ASSESS1 castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ASSESS1
&lt;4 or descendant::cs:REASONSH castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ASSESS1&gt;5)) then descendant::cs:REASONSH else
descendant::cs:MAIN">REASONSH Error: This field is mandatory and requires a value

<sch:assert test="if (((descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE)[string() castable as
xs:integer], 0)!=0 and descendant::cs:ASSESS\_DATE castable as xs:date and descendant::cs:ASSESS\_DATE[text()]) then descendant::cs:ASSESS1 else
descendant::cs:MAIN">ASSESS1 Error: This field is mandatory and requires a value</sch:assert>

<sch:assert test="if (((descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE)[string() castable as
xs:integer], 0)!=0 and descendant::cs:ASSESS\_DATE castable as xs:date and descendant::cs:ASSESS\_DATE[text()] and (descendant::cs:ASSESS1
castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ASSESS1&lt;4 or descendant::cs:ASSESS1 castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ASSESS1
&gt;5)) then descendant::cs:REFERRAL else descendant::cs:MAIN">REFERRAL Error: This field is mandatory and requires a value

<sch:assert test="if (((descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE)[string() castable as
xs:integer], 0)!=0 and descendant::cs:ASSESS\_DATE castable as xs:date and descendant::cs:ASSESS\_DATE[text()]) then descendant::cs:ETHNIC else
descendant::cs:MAIN">ETHNIC Error: This field is mandatory and requires a value</sch:assert>

<sch:assert test="if (descendant::cs:ASSESS\_DATE castable as xs:date and descendant::cs:ASSESS\_DATE[text()] and descendant::cs:ASSESS\_DATE castable as xs:date and xs:date(descendant::cs:ASSESS\_DATE) >= xs:date("2018-04-03")) then descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE else descendant::cs:MAIN">ELIGIBLE Error: This field is mandatory and requires a value</sch:assert> <sch:assert test="if (descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE!=0 and</pre>



### The Schematron...a complete nightmare!!

requires a value</sch:assert>

<sch:assert test="if (descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE!=0 and descendant::cs:ASSESS\_DATE castable as xs:date and descendant::cs:ASSESS\_DATE[text()]) then descendant::cs:REASONLOSS else descendant::cs:MAIN">REASONLOSS Error: This field is mandatory and requires a value</sch:assert>

<sch:assert test="if (descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE!=0 and descendant::cs:REASONLOS
castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:REASONLOSS=1 and (descendant::cs:ASSESS1 castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ASSESS1
&lt;4 or descendant::cs:ASSESS1 castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ASSESS1&gt;5)) then descendant::cs:REASONAST else
descendant::cs:MAIN">REASONAST Error: This field is mandatory and requires a value</sch:assert>

<sch:assert test="if (descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE!=0 and descendant::cs:REASONLOS
castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:REASONLOSS=3 and (descendant::cs:ASSESS1 castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ASSESS1
&lt;4 or descendant::cs:ASSESS1 castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ASSESS1&gt;5)) then descendant::cs:REASONSRS else
descendant::cs:MAIN">REASONSRS Error: This field is mandatory and requires a value</sch:assert>

<sch:assert test="if (descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE!=0 and descendant::cs:REASONLOS
castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:REASONLOSS=4 and (descendant::cs:ASSESS1 castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ASSESS1
&lt;4 or descendant::cs:ASSESS1 castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ASSESS1&gt;5)) then descendant::cs:REASONSH else
descendant::cs:MAIN">REASONSH Error: This field is mandatory and requires a value</sch:assert>

<sch:assert test="if (((descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE)[string() castable as
xs:integer], 0)!=0 and descendant::cs:ASSESS\_DATE castable as xs:date and descendant::cs:ASSESS\_DATE[text()]) then descendant::cs:ASSESS1 else
descendant::cs:MAIN">ASSESS1 Error: This field is mandatory and requires a value</sch:assert>

<sch:assert test="if (((descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE)[string() castable as
xs:integer], 0)!=0 and descendant::cs:ASSESS\_DATE castable as xs:date and descendant::cs:ASSESS\_DATE[text()] and (descendant::cs:ASSESS1
castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ASSESS1&lt;4 or descendant::cs:ASSESS1 castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ASSESS1
&gt;5)) then descendant::cs:REFERRAL else descendant::cs:MAIN">REFERRAL Error: This field is mandatory and requires a value</sch:assert>

<sch:assert test="if (((descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE)[string() castable as
xs:integer], 0)!=0 and descendant::cs:ASSESS\_DATE castable as xs:date and descendant::cs:ASSESS\_DATE[text()]) then descendant::cs:ETHNIC else
descendant::cs:MAIN">ETHNIC Error: This field is mandatory and requires a value</sch:assert>

<sch:assert test="if (descendant::cs:ASSESS\_DATE castable as xs:date and descendant::cs:ASSESS\_DATE[text()] and descendant::cs:ASSESS\_DATE castable as xs:date and xs:date(descendant::cs:ASSESS\_DATE) >= xs:date("2018-04-03")) then descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE else descendant::cs:MAIN">ELIGIBLE Error: This field is mandatory and requires a value</sch:assert> <sch:assert test="if (descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE castable as xs:unsignedByte and descendant::cs:ELIGIBLE!=0 and</pre>



### The Schematron...what have Locata done about it?

- Added validation
- Hacked the report
- Created the H-CLIC Validator





### The Validator

We have put in place a Validator for use as the Officer is completing the case

The HPA2 Case Pre-Validator allows you to:

- Check the validation on the case as you go along
- Opens the validation checker if it finds errors in the case
- Go to the error and put it right
- Save the changes you have made
- Refresh the Validator to see the result

#### We will now show you an example screenshot...





### The Validator – H-CLIC Errors

# CHEAST/19270 Mrs H Test

Accommodation outcome after prevention

Back Next Complete and Next Save

All tasks are owned by Helen Fleming unless otherwise stated.

Address 🖌 People 🖌

Initial approach 🗸

Eligibility 
Main Application Details

Accommodation when

Wednesday 26 Sep 2018
\* Accommodation Outcome (Prevent)
No fixed abode: rough sleeping

Local authority location of accommodation outcome Home Telephone Accommodat outcome after prevention Accommodation Outcome (Prevent) (ACCOM\_PR) ERROR : Should match accommodation in Current accommodation (1.113) if prevention

ended

0

Days

Owned by Andy Glaves

 $\checkmark$ 

 $\checkmark$ 

Remaining



### The Validator – H-CLIC Warnings





### The Validator – H-CLIC Information





### H-Clic – The Cheshire East Story

- Locata in place from the start
- Expected good things
- Got good things
  - PHP perfect
  - Casework tasks in place
- Life was good
- We clicked go on the H-CLIC download in Locata

#### THEN DELTA HAPPENED!!!! ARRRGH!!!





### H-Clic – The Cheshire East Story

- Over 900 lines of errors
- 25 pages of A3 coded errors
- In tiny text
- Days of officer time to correct
   LOCATA TO THE RESCUE!!!

With the validator we have been able to:

- Train officers to correct their own mistakes as they go along
- Improve the data inputted
- Fingers crossed for a smooth upload for Q2





# Any Questions?

